



**Clark County Park District  
Regular Monthly Board Meeting  
January 21, 2021  
6:00 p.m.**

- 1. Call To Order**
  - a. Commissioner Richards called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.
- 2. Roll Call**
  - a. Commissioners in attendance were Jeff Wallace, Roy Sweet, Jana Williamson, Randy Blankenship, Jeff Trefz, Joey Ewing, and John Richards. Also in attendance was Executive Director Jeff Tippett.
- 3. Pledge of Allegiance**
- 4. Approval of Agenda**
  - a. Ewing motioned to approve the agenda. Sweet second. 7 ayes, 0 nays. Motion carried.
- 5. Approval of Minutes**
  - a. Regular Monthly Board Meeting Minutes 12/17/21
    - i. Sweet motioned to approve the Regular Monthly Board Meeting Minutes dated 12/17/21. Wallace second. 7 ayes, 0 nays. Motion carried.
- 6. Public Comment**
  - a. Matt Saxon commented that they are looking at this property in the neighborhood, property on the lake. He just had some questions about some things that were on the agenda today. He just wanted to chat with them and get to know them. He met the gentleman in the back, met him at the park he doesn't know if he remembers that, he stopped by and had some questions about fishing. He like what he heard and he is getting a little more familiar with the area.
- 7. Director's Report**
  - a. Tippett commented that they finished replacing the culvert pipes on the main road. Today they finished it. They are going to have to do some more backfilling around it but they are going to wait until they start the boat ramp so that they can use some of the spoils from that, it would make a good base for it. It turned out pretty nice. As you can see, the OHV still continues, we are waiting on Enerstar. The Farm Contract is to be posted in the newspaper within the next week or so less the 3 acres that they will use for the scat track or whatever they call it at the OHV. Other than that everything else is self-explanatory.
  - b. Ewing asked when they were supposed to receive the bathroom for the OHV to which Tippett responded sometime between mid-January and February. Ewing commented anytime to which Tippett responded yes any time.
  - c. Sweet asked Tippett if he wanted to address the power outage so that the rest of the Board knows about it in case it comes back on us. Tippett commented that



they had a power outage yesterday. The Clark County Highway Department was backfilling the pipe and we have an exposed wire that is in the culvert that Enerstar is very well aware of. He threw a little too much dirt on it and it pulled the splice apart, it should've popped the breaker on the pole back here and that would've been the end of it. For some reason it didn't, it went two poles down and cut out the electric going east quite a ways, his house was out. It was out for about an hour, hour and a half. Enerstar came out, made the connection, and they were all set. Sweet commented that the restaurant was out for about an hour and a half, just something to be aware of.

- d. Ewing commented that with the new cabin you have heating and cooling left. Did we bid that out to which Tippet responded no that we are doing it. We have an external unit that runs to where we can put up to four units and we are probably only going to use two. Ewing stated that we are going to do that ourselves and there is nothing that needs to go out to bid to which Tippet responded no.

#### **8. Payment of the Bills**

- a. Wallace asked how much PDRMA went up to which Tippet responded that it wasn't very much, not much at all. However, there was a correction to the payment of bills. There was a slight error. Fowler explained that it was for Kesler's, it was originally entered at \$305 and the actual bill was \$140. The total amount for bills to be paid was \$20,071.43.
- b. Wallace motioned to approve the bills as of January 10, 2021 in the amount of \$20,071.43. Ewing second with discussion. On the Meyer Capel bill, does that have anything to do with the...to which Tippet stated that it does, Dearing and that road. He hasn't gotten back to him yet, but he has billed him for some hours on it. Ewing commented so some of these hours or all of these hours, or is it combined from both to which Tippet responded that he isn't sure, he would have to go back and look, he thinks it is a combination because he had some policy questions that he had gave to Geiler. Ewing second. Wallace aye, Sweet aye, Williamson aye, Blankenship aye, Trefz aye, Ewing aye, Richards aye. 0 nays. Motion carried.

#### **9. Old Business**

- a. Discussion and Vote for a date to have watercraft off and on water
  - i. Richards stated that is something they discussed at the Lake Management meeting on the 14<sup>th</sup> and they had come up with some tentative ideas about December 15<sup>th</sup> to have boats off of the docks that the park owns and then to allow them to come back on the 15<sup>th</sup> of February or when it would be appropriate due to icing and things like that. That is what they discussed there.
  - ii. Ewing clarified so off December 15<sup>th</sup> and back on tentatively February 15<sup>th</sup> dependent on the ice. Sweet commented that it covers every dock.



Richards commented that was part of the discussion they were having at the meeting whether it would apply to every dock or just the docks that the park owns, like down at the launch or whether they wanted to apply that to the whole lake. Sweet commented why would they only want to apply that to a few and not the whole, he can't see why they would do that, maybe there's a reason but he just doesn't know what it is.

Richards explained it was brought up if people were fishing or things like that and whether they had their own boats out and issues like that.

Sweet commented that they could launch the boat. Ewing commented that they can still use the lake you just cannot moor on the lake during those times the lake is subject to freeze. You could still use the lake for duck hunting or whatever you want to do.

- iii.** Wallace commented that some of his thought on that it came up about maybe doing it around January 1<sup>st</sup>, his concern about it was you have the holidays around there and all that and everything so his thought was to move it back to before the holidays to December 15<sup>th</sup> and all that stuff.
  - iv.** Wallace motioned to have all watercraft off the lake for the season from December 15<sup>th</sup> until February 15<sup>th</sup>. This does not disallow boaters to come in and launch their boats as long as they have them removed the same day. Sweet second.
  - v.** Trefz asked if they could add about the ice and all that to which Blankenship asked if they could add if there is ice on the lake, it moves until the ice is gone. Richards commented some kind of director discretion or something like that.
  - vi.** Wallace asked to amend his original motion to add at the director's discretion at the end to which Tippettt clarified that he can extend that at his discretion if he needs it to which Wallace commented that would be his taking on it. If they have a really, really, really bad winter and the lake is not in a situation where you can get it...in his opinion it is no different than when the lake level is up really, really, really high.
  - vii.** Richards clarified that they have a motion and a second on that. 7 ayes, 0 nays. Motion carried.
  - viii.** Richards clarified December 15<sup>th</sup> to February 15<sup>th</sup> with the discretion of the director as to the condition of the lake with icing to which Ewing added to be clear, that is just the mooring of boats on the lake during those timeframes, you can still access.
- b.** Discussion and vote moving Cody Allender's dock 100' West
- i.** Tippettt stated that he was contacted by Jerry Wyrick and he went down there with Allender again and simply moved his pier down about 100', it makes no difference to Cody and the path is no more than 75' longer. It would open up the vision and be more out of the way of the center of the



lake. He is just asking if he can make an amendment to his permit moving it down a bit by 100'. On the picture, the first one was B and he is going to move it to A. Ewing clarified that B is getting moved to A. Tippett commented that it is about exactly 100' as the crow flies. Ewing thought that they had another dock proposed to go in there too, Ogle's, to which Tippett responded that Ogle never came back with any paperwork. Ewing commented that they would have to work with that at a later date if it ever develops.

- ii. Richards motioned to accept the movement of Cody Allender's dock 100' west as referenced in the image provided. Ewing second. 7 ayes, 0 nays. Motion carried.

## 10. New Business

### a. Discussion and Vote Banning Proposal

- i. Richards commented that there is a couple of page document in there, page 27 & 28, that outlines a couple of different scopes of services that they can provide. Tippett stated that basically what Banning is proposing to them is just a study. There is actually no work to be done, it is just a study. He wants to look at the bottom of the lake and see how much sedimentation, that is the Bath Metric Survey, that is going to cost quite a bit of money. And then the rest of it is just his hourly rate to actually draw it up and actually make the engineering survey and the like. He believes that it is quite a bit of money, that is just his opinion.
- ii. Sweet clarified that that is just to find out how much silt is in the lake to which Tippett responded that is to find out how much silt is in the lake and where a couple of good spots would be to put silt ponds. Ewing commented that it would just be his recommendation of where, no cost analysis or anything like that, he didn't see anywhere where it talked about giving the scope of work or anything like that. Tippett read it is to evaluate potential structure and nonstructural measures to reduce sediment delivery to the reservoir. He will contact the water conservation district, which he already did, he is still waiting for them to call him back.
- iii. Tippett reported that the Bath Metric Survey would cost \$45,000-66,000 alone. Richards asked if the second part of that where they would make recommendations and what to do and where to do it, like sediment removal portion of this to which Tippett responded yes.
- iv. Tippett commented that the total cost of the entire project as far as Banning is concerned is \$166,000 to \$189,000 which includes the Bath Metric Survey. If the Board is considering anything like this he would have to put it out for bid.



- v. Williamson asked if they could take it back to Lake Management for more discussion. Sweet stated table it or not, he is not in favor of spending that kind of money to tell us we have silt in the lake, they know that. Williamson commented that she believes that maybe 8-10 years ago they did have study done and they should have a copy of that somewhere in the archives somewhere and they need to go back and located that in the archives somewhere. She doesn't remember who did it but they didn't pay for anything. Tippet commented that it was probably the NRCS. Williamson commented they probably did it, she would just like to table it and come up with that information and she apologized for not thinking of that sooner. Richards commented that it is a lot of money. Richards stated that the only pro he could think of it is if you know what you are after then you wouldn't be throwing good money after bad, but that is a heck of a big investment, it is fairly obvious when you look at the lake where the sedimentation areas are.
- vi. Saxon commented that the property that he is looking at is in an inlet, next to Wyman's house, he thinks they have heard about Wyman. He saw in some of the minutes he talked about some of the sediment challenges that are over there and he was looking at some topographical images of this body of water over the last couple of years you can see an increase area in local places including Guyer Cove and another one. He is just curious in looking at the estimate from Banning Engineering is that to provide a solution for the, is it an interest of the Board? He knows multiple companies that would come out for free and give them estimates on silt ponds and silt drainage and different inlets throughout the area. Is that eventually what the goal of this type of project would be, to find out the cost of what it would be to do that? Richards commented that he believes so. There is a problem that we are trying to address that problem, just finding the best way to do it and being fiscally responsible in doing it. We know where there are areas, like where you are talking about and they have a decent idea of what to do with it. They are trying to find out what the best techniques are to keep them from happening further and potentially reverse some of it. It is a difficult thing to do and we don't want to go out and do something on our own that fails and end up spending money on a failure. They also don't want to spend unnecessary money for things they don't need to. That is the driving force behind it is trying to figure out the best way to logically do it and not be irresponsible with the finances. That is the goal. Missy Finkbiner commented that it might be good for whoever was here before to come back and see how that has changed at 6, 7 ,or 8 years. Richards commented that they are very much correct. You can see in the aerial



photos from over the years you can very much see a difference in a lot of those areas. Saxon commented that he thinks that anyone will tell them, silt pond, silt trap solution and then dredge later on. He doesn't know if the Board would be willing to get some quotes for that to see what they are up against. Richards commented that is where they are heading. Saxon thanked them for letting him talk to which Richards responded absolutely.

- vii. Sweet asked what do you call all of these watersheds that are down by his house. When they first went out there, they predicted that it would take 8 years for that to fill in. Those were built by the county? To slow all the silt down. You go down and look over the hill now and all you see is Hurricane Creek and one pool that is about the fourth of the size. Once those do fill in, and some of them pretty much are there now, you will slow the process but you will never eliminate it. Once those are full, then it's gonna come here. He believes that is why those were built. When it was engineered, he believes that is why it was engineered that way. He thinks it helps to have a little bit of information. Saxon commented that he is absolutely right, it is an ongoing challenge to dig out for the silt beds and silt traps.
  - viii. Wallace asked Tippet how much they spend on erosion each year to which Tippet responded \$88,000. Wallace commented that they are talking about pretty much 2 years of erosion control for this study. They all know that these structures only have a lifetime of so many years. He thinks from his understanding initially was that the life span of this lake was only supposed to be about 50 years until it silted in. We are doing a lot of erosion control, but they are fighting a battle. He is in agreement for tabling this for right now to talk about it and find out more information.
  - ix. Tippet asked if he wanted to motion it back to the table to which Wallace responded that Williamson can since she asked for it.
  - x. Williamson motioned to table Banning's proposal. Ewing second. 7 ayes, 0 nays.
- b. Discussion and Vote Construction Permit Woodlands Jerry Wyrick
- i. Tippet commented that Wyrick has withdrawn that permit. Richards commented that was withdrawn and is a moot point and they don't need to do anything with it. Tippet responded that he doesn't think that they need to do anything with it.
- c. Discussion and Vote Forestry Management
- i. Richards commented that was discussed at the Campers meeting.
  - ii. Tippet asked if they can table that back to the Camper's meeting. The information that they requested he is playing phone tag and doesn't have



it yet. Ewing clarified that he doesn't have anything back yet from the Forrester to which Tippet responded that he has called him and he has called back and they just haven't gotten together yet. Ewing commented that if they don't have anything at this time, then they really don't have anything to vote on then. Ewing asked if he wants to entertain that in camping to which Tippet responded that he hopes to have the information and they can decide what they want to do.

- iii. Ewing motioned to table the forestry management vote to discuss in Camping committee.
  - iv. Sweet asked for Tippet to tell him what this vote is about. Tippet responded that he proposed to the Camping committee to do some forestry management north of the bridge that has never been done. It is pretty rich in White Oak and Red Oak. A guy named Jim Liffick came out to do some forest management but he doesn't have the credentials behind him. He said it would be worthwhile to do. He thinking of a revenue stream and tree management of the park so he was instructed by the camping committee to contact Bob Wagoner, he is a forester consultant, and see what he would charge to do a report to see if it is feasible, if it is profitable, how it would be done and what it would take to do it. Sweet responded thank you.
  - v. Ewing commented that it is his understanding on that forestry management, he believes he is the one that did it a few years ago. Wallace commented Bob Wagoner, that is what he thought, he recognized the name. Ewing stated that they should have a file because he thought that he did the entire park as far as a timber study, as far as timber expectations at the time. Now, it might be something that we visit that and update this, but they should have it somewhere.
  - vi. Richards clarified that they weren't going to vote to cut down any trees, we were just going to vote whether or not they want to go forward having someone look at it, that is what they are going to vote on tonight, but they don't have that information, so they will table that for the camper's meeting.
  - vii. Ewing stated they would address that more at camper's and discuss if this is the way they want to go.
  - viii. Ewing motioned to table the forestry management. Blankenship second. 7 ayes, 0 nays. Motion carried.
- d. Discussion and Vote Casey Saddle Club waive fees for ride
- i. Tippet stated that he was contacted by the Casey Saddle Club. It is a small club in Casey, Illinois. On the park district property in Casey, they maintain a concession stand to make money for their club. They would like to hold a ride out here at the park. It is not a benefit for anyone.



They want to raise some money to do some repairs to their concession stand back in Casey. He was asked if the Board would waive the fees for the ride, let the horses ride for free. He thinks they get \$3/horse or something like that.

- ii. Wallace motioned to waive the fee for the equestrian ride for the Casey Saddle Club.
- iii. Sweet stated that he had a question. When we wanted to give the vets free boat rides, he believes that the attorney told them that they couldn't do that. He is not opposed to letting these guys ride for free from daylight to dark. He doesn't care about that. But didn't she tell them that they couldn't do that to which Tippet responded that they cannot give discounts to veterans, the only discounts that we can do is in district and out of district. We are waiving the fee, it is not a discount. We have done it on every ride that has come through here.
- iv. Williamson asked if this is a noncharitable to which Tippet responded no it is not. Williamson continued by saying so this is an organization raising money for the Casey Park District's concession to which Tippet responded not even that, they are raising money for the horse club's concession stand to do work on the concession stand. It doesn't have anything to do with the Casey Park District or have any benefit for them, it is strictly for the club.
- v. Ewing commented isn't the horse track in Casey's Park District though to which Tippet responded yes. Ewing commented that their arena and all is inside the Casey Park District's taxing body to which Tippet responded yes. Ewing commented that this may be on the Saddle Club, but he still thinks that the arena and the building and all that would be owned and operated under the city's town levy of their own. He doesn't know that to be true, but he is pretty sure.
- vi. Ewing clarified so they want us to waive the fees for one day for their event so they can collect their own fees and put that money back at their own facility to which Tippet stated that is correct.
- vii. Williamson asked how much we charge for trail riding for a day to which Tippet responded that it is less than \$10/day. Ewing commented that Sweet is right, they are right. We have to waive the fees all together or charge them full price because we cannot adjust the price. We cannot give any reduced rate except In District and Out of District, he thinks that is where Sweet is going with that, he knows that became an issue because they use to give veterans discounts on camping, boat rides and everything and it was brought to their attention, we can give a third adjusted rate, they can only give in district and out of district rates.



Whether you agree with or not, which he doesn't, but it's the way the rules are.

- viii. Williamson asked how they handled the Jeep ride and all of that this summer to which Ewing clarified the side by sides and that, they didn't charge them at all. They just gave them the venue, they took care of the venue themselves. Williamson stated so this is the same thing to which Ewing responded that it was a charitable donation to Shop With A Cop. Where this is not for a set event, it is set for their own funds used for themselves, of their club. So the question is do we waive them or enforce them.
- ix. Richards commented that he would like to see more use of the equestrian trails but he doesn't want to set the precedent of waiving fees for people to come in and do their own fundraisers. He would be opposed to waiving the fee. Williamson stated if they were camping, she might look at it differently, but she is inclined to agree with him.
- x. Wallace commented that if they are going to start doing this though, that means if side by sides want to come out and ride again, they cannot do that anymore. Richards commented that he thinks that the distinction is that one is a charitable organization to which Wallace commented that they don't pay the fees to ride the trails. Richards commented that they waived the fee to have a charity event, this is not a charity event, this is a fundraiser for another organization. Wallace asked if they have ever done anything for fishing tournaments to which Tippet responded that fishing tournaments, per the Board, come in under if they come in ahead of time they pay one rate, but it has to be all done before the fishing tournament begins.
- xi. Blankenship commented that he thinks not.
- xii. Richards commented that there was a motion to approve it. Do we let that die or what do they do to which Ewing responded if it doesn't receive a second, it dies to which Blankenship agreed.
- xiii. Richards asked if there was a distinction there that they can clearly make whether you are a charitable versus a noncharitable organization, that is the distinction that he is drawing in his mind, is that a legitimate argument? Blankenship commented that if they explained their horse club a little better, and if we knew who owned it and how it worked, we might be able to make a different decision. To him it is no different than you saying you need some money, can I use the park to make me some money. Richards commented that is the way he is interpreting it. Blankenship commented that is what he sees, now if it was a ...Ewing commented a ride for a certain event whether it is Riley's or St. Jude's or Relay For Life or something along those lines, that would be a different



discussion. Being that it is for the sole purpose of this reduction and they are going to charge those people to ride themselves to use those funds for their own expenditures, then he would have to say no.

- xiv. Ewing motioned to not waive the fees for the Casey Saddle Club ride. Richards second. 6 ayes, Wallace no. Motion carried.
- e. Discussion and Vote place cost of repair to the culvert pipes into Contingency
  - i. Tippet commented that this was an unexpected expense that they had with these these culvert pipes as well as putting them in. Dallas Richardson stepped forward and said that he could, we were going to rent the excavator and everything that we needed, but he said that he could do it cheaper. Again, it is just his cost under the governmental agreement. It would go into Grounds and it would drive the Grounds line over. So far he has \$5,100 in cost in pipes. The only other thing that he has to pay for is Richardson's crew and the rock that he brought in. He is asking that they use Contingency for that as it was not something that they had anticipated. Sweet asked how much it was to which Tippet responded that he doesn't know yet. They just finished it today. It will take him awhile to get a bill, but he is assuming that the total will somewhere around \$10,000. Williamson clarified that was for both of them to which Tippet responded yes. Sweet commented he was just curious, it needed done.
  - ii. Richards asked what is the balance in Contingency to which Tippet responded \$28,000, there is enough to cover it, he knows that.
  - iii. Blankenship motioned that they take the money out of Contingency to pay for the culvert pipes. Williamson second. Sweet aye, Williamson aye, Blankenship aye, Trefz aye, Ewing aye, Richards aye, Wallace aye. 0 nays. Motion carried.

#### **11. Executive Session 5ILCS 120/2 C-1, C-21**

- a. Wallace motioned to go into Executive Session under the Illinois Revised Statutes 5ILCS 120/2, C-1, "The appointment, employment, compensation, discipline, performance, or dismissal of specific employees of the public body or legal counsel for the public body or against legal counsel for the public body, including hearing testimony on a complaint lodged against an employee of the public body or against legal counsel for the public body to determine its validity. However, a meeting to consider an increase in compensation to a specific employee of a public body that is subject to the Local Government Wage Increase Transparency Act may not be closed and shall be open to the public and posted and held in accordance with this Act," and also C-21, "Discussion of minutes of meetings lawfully closed under this Act, whether for purposes of approval by the body of the minutes or semi-annual review of the minutes as mandated by Section 2.06."



Ewing second. Williamson aye, Blankenship aye, Trefz aye, Ewing aye, Richards aye, Wallace aye, Sweet aye. 0 nays. Motion carried.

**12. Vote to Approve and Open/Close Executive Session Minutes dated 9/17/20**

- a. Wallace motioned to Open the Executive Session Minutes dated 9/17/20. Sweet second. 7 ayes, 0 nays. Motion carried.

**13. Committee Reports**

- a. Campers – 1/7/21

- i. Ewing stated that Campers met and they discussed the Saluki proposal for drainage. They didn't discuss it in the meeting, but did you reach out and find a cost equivalents if culvert and drains. Tippett stated that he met with Greg last Sunday morning and they spent some time in the A Section. He actually marked out where the drain inlet should be and where the master drain should be. They are going to cut the road once to get across it, unless they want to put out the money to do it. Robinson asked Tippett if he wanted him to cost it for him, so he gave him Ryan Campbell's number from WDS to cost it through and he hasn't heard anything back. Ewing clarified that they are waiting for a cost factor as far as catch basins and all that to which Tippett responded yes. Tippett continued with he spoke with Dallas Richardson. He asked him if he could do something like that and he said he could have something like that done in a day and then we would put it all back together when it is done.
- ii. Blankenship commented so he will cut the grade and everything to which Tippett commented that he would ditch it, put the inlets in, and backfill it. He would just take the big excavator down there and do it. We can do it, but he will do it faster. Ewing commented so he is going to get back with us with some numbers as to what the cost is going to be to which Tippett responded right. Tippett commented that Robinson said he would do that so I gave him Campbell's information and said just go through him and he will give him all of the numbers. Ewing asked Tippett if he felt comfortable that they might have that before the next committee meeting to which Tippett responded the he was just out there last Sunday with him. Ewing commented that he hopes that they have them for the next meeting for discussion so they can see which direction they want to go.
- iii. Ewing commented that the timber did come up in the meeting and that is when they discussed that if they are going to entertain this, they need to have an estimated volume of footage of timber that they are going to cut, if they are going to cut anything. That is when this come up in the meeting about getting forestry management in there to have everything in there identified by a professional so they know exactly what they want



to cut or what's there and if we do cut this is what's cut. He guesses that they will discuss that again as information comes available. He was told that they do already have a timber management proposal here from some years ago, now where that information is at, he doesn't know. Since he has been on the Board, he doesn't think that they have ever entertained timber so it had to be before his time on the Board. He doesn't know when they would've entered into that to which Williamson commented that it would've been when Shiley was here when they did it. Ewing commented so you are talking about '10, '11, '12 when it was done to which Williamson responded maybe.

- iv. Ewing asked when they cut timber before, does anyone remember, to which Wallace responded approximately the year 2000. There was really no kind of study or nothing.
  - v. Ewing stated so we will reach out to him and see if we have something to discuss at the meeting next and see where they are going to go with it. Williamson asked if this is someone who is certified to which Ewing responded yes, he did the study before. That is where in the campers meeting he would like to discuss if we do entertain the cutting of timber, his feeling is that they need to pinpoint or allocate those dollars to a capital project or a project, period, if they do cut it, that those funds will only be used for said project. If they are seriously going to entertain this, they need to lay out what project they want to go after and allocate those funds for the sole purpose of that project. Not put them in the general fund, not stuff like that.
  - vi. Ewing stated that they also discussed the expansion of the campground. They had a study done, VanHinkle and Cline he thinks is who did it, they did a proposal for behind A section in that little hay field back there, he knows that they talked about putting some roads in and starting to put a couple of sites in there now, and maybe that could be something. They were looking at that with the full hook ups and that because the power is there, the water, the infrastructure is already there, they just have to tie onto it and go. That is still under the discussion phase and they will revisit it again.
- b. Lake Management – 1/14/21
- i. Richards stated that they discussed the boats on and off the water, which they took care of tonight. They discussed dock sizes and there is an outline in the current permit that specifies that they can be no bigger than 55' and are limited to 40% of the person's lake frontage. So like on these things, they are looking at 30' docks if you have 80' of property. They discussed a community path and what they got into with that was who has the access to water. The bottom line to that that they thought



that access to water should only be granted to people whose property physically borders the park. Anything put in to a subdivision agreement or anything like that, like an access or an easement or anything like that, was not binding in any way to us. We can make that determination on their own and try not to be caught up by things like that.

- ii. Richards commented that in that one, they talked about tree management, and they discussed that a little.
- iii. Richards stated that they spent a lot of time on the discussion of no build areas on the lake. Whatever you want to call it, no build areas, zoning, or whatever. There is a draft of that in the Director's Office where they kind of outlined areas of the lake that should be preserved or labeled no development or building zones and why. They have a pretty good start on it, it just needs to be further discussed and refined before that comes to the full Board for a vote. He doesn't anticipate that for probably next month for a vote. If you come to the next meeting on 2/11, they will probably have a lot to talk about.

c. Finance

- i. None

d. Policy

- i. None
- ii. Williamson commented that there is a Policy meeting scheduled for the 28<sup>th</sup> at 5:30 p.m.

e. Trails

- i. None

**14. Adjourn**

- a. Wallace motioned to adjourn the meeting. Trefz second. 7 ayes, 0 nays. Motion carried.
- b. Meeting was adjourned at 7:17 p.m.