



**Clark County Park District
Regular Monthly Board Meeting
October 15, 2020
6:00 p.m.**

- 1. Call To Order**
 - a. Commissioner Richards called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.
- 2. Roll Call**
 - a. Commissioners in attendance were Randy Blankenship, Jeff Wallace, Roy Sweet, Jeff Trefz, Joey Ewing, and John Richards. Also in attendance was Executive Director Jeff Tippett, Office Manager Danielle Brown, and Kevin Womack from Sackrider and Co.
- 3. Pledge of Allegiance**
- 4. Approval of Agenda**
 - a. Blankenship motioned to approve the agenda but move Sackrider to below public comment. Ewing second. 6 ayes, 0 nays. Motion carried.
- 5. Approval of Minutes**
 - a. Regular Monthly Board Meeting Minutes 9/17/20
 - i. Wallace motioned to approve the Regular Monthly Board Meeting Minutes dated 9/17/20. Sweet second. 6 ayes 0 nays. Motion carried.
- 6. Public Comment**
 - a. None
- 7. Sackrider Presentation**
 - a. Kevin Womack from Sackrider stated that from a big picture view things went smoothly, no big surprises, and Brown really kept them going well and gave them everything that they needed, as well as Leuken and Tippett. They had a clean audit opinion, they didn't have any extra comments to report on this year or extra difficulties. Starting on page 1, that is just their audit report. You will see management's responsibility and the auditor's responsibility. That shows that management is responsible for creating the financial statements and doing the internal control and their responsibility is on the opinion. Their opinion which you will see on the next page is that the financial statements and the notes were all presented fairly with Accounting Principles. Pages 3-7 is supplementary info but is not part of the audit opinion but is required to be added. Page 3, he will just jump through the highlights real quick.
 - b. The first one you will see your assets minus your liabilities were \$2.6 million, so that is good, that is up \$219,000 or 9% from last year. The year before that you were up \$114,000, so that is 2 years of going up. Then you will see your net asset. A lot of that is restricted for Capital Assets and then you have \$562,000 that is recreation and this shows that you have \$178,000 that is unrestricted, he brings up recreation because most of what you do is recreation anyways.



- c. Page 5 is a summary of your government wide financial analysis. This is your big picture view of all of your financials that will include your capital assets, and your pension liabilities, that is a good way over a ten year period to look at your financials. You will see that your assets were up, most of that was the Capital Assets went from \$1.6 to \$1.7 million, that is the docks that you purchased, the bobcat. You will see that the deferred outflow of resources has went down. Deferred outflows are bad, so that's a good thing for those to go down. You will see your liabilities went down from \$230,000 to \$139,000. Most of that is your Advance Reservations. Those were down because at year end there were fewer reservations being put in. Then there is big deferred inflows of resources, because of governmental accounting that is your property tax revenue, that is showing as a receivable and also this deferred inflow. The you have the net position at the end, and that is the \$2.6 Million.
- d. Page 6, you will see kind of an income statement, it will show all of your revenues and expenses, your charges for services went up slightly which you have this new capital grant which you started drawing on which was \$34,000, if that. Most of the other revenues were similar and then there were your expenses. You expenses went down slightly, but your culture and recreation was up, general government was down, most of that is the way that salary was allocation. That was changed a little bit this year so that Tippett and Brown's work were shown more as recreation and less as general government as they were in years past. Otherwise, your depreciation expense was down, your salary was up a little bit. We will skip the rest of this and pages 8 and 9.
- e. Page 10 is the Government Fund Financial Statements, that's a whole other way of looking at it. It's not looking at your Capital Assets, it is not looking at your pensions, this is a budgetary basis, this is looking forward one year in looking at your financials. On the left hand side you will see assets, liabilities, deferred inflows and then fund balances, and that is what he would look at on that page. The first column is corporate, you had fund balances of \$178,000, that is up from last year which was \$99,000. Your recreation was up just a little bit and then last year you had some negative balances in pension and negative balances in governmental funds. You guys did some transfers to get those back to zero. Other governmental funds, it is a really small account, you have audit, liability insurance and paving and lighting, and that is what that balance is, paving and lighting.
- f. Page 11 is a reconciliation from one to the other, they will skip it.
- g. Page 12 is another revenue and expenses just looking at the funds. Corporate revenues were really similar, Recreational Revenues you had Grant Revenue and in Miscellaneous you had that insurance claim, that was part of the \$76,000, and then you had a big Capital Outlay in Recreation of \$205,000 which they have talked about already. Otherwise, IMRF which is your pension, was pretty much



unchanged. Other Governmental Funds, you didn't have any paving expenses this year. They will skip page 13, it is another reconciliation page, and that gets them through the financials.

- h.** If you ever want to look through this, this is how you would do it. Note 1 is accounting policies, how is revenue reported, how is depreciation recorded. No changes this year. Note 2 will show your deposits, it just shows that they are covered with collateral so there is no concern there. Note 3 show Property Taxes, if you are new to government, that is new. Note 4 is your Capital Assets, you have got almost \$8 million of building and equipment but a lot of that has really depreciated, so you end up with about \$1.5 million in building and equipment and then you have got some land and construction in process this year. Note 5 is pensions, which are really complicated so most of it is provided by your actuary and really doesn't change from year to year so he isn't going to go through the detail, but he will show them on page 24, you have a pension liability of almost \$400,000. You have pension assets of about \$556,000 so that nets you out at a pension asset of about \$160,000. That is what is on your balance sheet. Note 6 is Risk Management. You are part of a group insurance plan so that is complicated, but it is pretty small to your financial statement so we will skip that. Note 7 is a new note. It is going to talk about Coronavirus, how the park reopened on May 29 but was shut down on March 22, there was economic uncertainty. Note 8 is new, it talks about this grant. There is a grant for \$366,000 that you are eligible for and through year end you had spent \$43,000 and that was a receivable. That gets us to the required supplemental information and we have some pension, we will skip it.
- i.** Page 31 is the budget. We will start with the corporate fund, what your budget was and what your actual expenses were. The middle column is your budget, your budgeted revenue was \$122,000, your actual is \$126,000. You are over budget, your expenses were under budget. They were only \$46,000, so that's good, you were under budget. For recreation, your revenues were under budget, most of that is the grant. Not all of the grant expenses were done that you expected because of the Coronavirus. A lot of the revenue was not being recorded. But the actual expenses were under budget as well by \$134,000.
- j.** Page 33 is the pension budget. That is pretty small activity. They have an overview of how budgeting works for Clark County and then they will skip through. They have some combining balance sheets that show those tiny little funds that they skipped over.
- k.** The last page is how the property tax is levied, no real changes there.
- l.** He has two letters. As they do the audit, they look at the internal controls. Last year they noticed that you had a policy that when invoices are reviewed they have to all be signed, and there were a few that weren't signed. There were no difficulties this year so that is not a comment that they will have going forward.



They do have a letter to them just explaining any difficulties with the audit. We talk about estimates, depreciation is an estimate as you don't know how long a building will be in use and equipment. The pension and liability depreciations are just estimates, and they get that information from your actuary. They are happy to say that there were no difficulties in dealing with management. They do have some entries to record depreciation expenses and capital assets, management did ask them to do that, and then they look at depreciation schedules afterwards and take responsibility for them. He is really happy to say that he had no disagreements with accounting principles or any other difficulties with management. A really easy year, a clean year, and once again they are happy to have the park as a client.

8. Director's Report

- a. Tippetstated that the report reporting a minor accident was actually to his truck. One of our utility trucks backed into his bumper and put a dent in it. Rather than turn it into insurance, we are going to find a bumper for \$340 and Haws will put it on and it will be all done and over with.
- b. He continued with the rip rap project is now in excess of 1300 tons installed, that is a long way. They have put quite a bit of rip rap along the lake, they are working well. They are not too pleased with their side by side motion but it is the best they can do.
- c. Tippetstcommented that they did a little in house as they can see they painted a little.
- d. He stated that at this time he has suspended the rip rap because the water is too low and they can't get close enough to the shore to be as effective as they would like to be.
- e. He reported that the down payment went out to Central State in the amount of \$10,500 and that gets it here in January. We put the septic in at the OHV, it was installed several days ago.
- f. Tippetstcommented that a person by the name of Kemper hosted a Poker Run for charity which involved the park as the end of the run. We only became aware of it about an hour and a half before it started. Once they became aware of it, we told her that no ATV's or UTV's can come into the park at all. All of a sudden they had food trucks showing up and they are there decorating the place again, we had no idea about it. What had happened was that she had contacted Fowler for this thing, and Fowler clearly, and I have copies of the emails, she clearly tells her do not do this unless you get the approval of the Board, and apparently it wasn't good enough. She went ahead and did it anyway. It went off without a hitch though, the way he understands it, it worked out.
 - i. Ewing commented that he got a phone call about this about the \$100 vending fee applied. They were complaining as to whether or not we charged them for the vending thing. He was unaware that they had any



event. He assumes that is in the policy. He was thinking that we charge the ice cream lady to set up and he referred them to that, it is in our policy. For the ATV run, we did not charge them a vendor fee for the Shop With a Cop event, correct to which Tippet responded that is correct, but that was by vote of the Board. Ewing commented that this was a separate thing where we altered that by their vote. This is not something that they knew about and it was not sanctioned by the Board, you will be charged that as far as he knows.

- ii. Georgeann Welsh asked if this is the one that happened last weekend to which Tippet responded yes. She stated that she was at somebody's camper and they had a flyer and she thinks that they were from Martinsville. They said that they were having food and music, and everything back there. If was a fundraiser.
- iii. Tippet commented that he found out about it at 11, they were here about 1 and everything rolled in here about 4. Ewing asked if they knew what the fundraiser was for to which Tippet responded that it was somebody that was seriously injured. It was for an individual.

- g. Ewing asked if they have enough rock piled up so if the lake comes up will they have to bring more in to which Tippet responded they probably have 200 ton down there and before the season is up he will probably put another 300 ton on top of it. We have gone through 12 rolls of fabric and he just ordered another 6.

9. Payment of Bills

- a. Brown commented that as you can see the total number for bills is \$36,495.07. The reason why that number has changed is because it is taking into account the credit for Industrial Supply and the remaining credit for Lake Sara Marina that has not yet been applied.
- b. Ewing asked about Littlejohn Grain to which Brown responded that is for shirts and food, this also applies to Brenda Littlejohn for the Hammer 100. Ewing stated that is good.
- c. Ewing motioned to pay the bills in the amount of \$36,495.07. Blankenship second. Blankenship aye, Wallace aye, Sweet aye, Trefz aye, Ewing aye, Richards aye. 0 nays. Motion carried.

10. Old Business

- a. Discussion Central State's Bid Revision
 - i. Tippet commented that Central State's first bid revision, this is for the OHV Bathroom, this was for \$52,800 which the Board by vote approved. The next day, come to find out that it was coming out of Illinois. The place that was actually providing the concrete structure burned down. They were looking at next summer before they would be back up and producing this particular bathroom. So he contacted a guy in Michigan, he builds the same bathroom, he is a concrete guy, he can make it, and



with shipping, revision 2 started out as \$55,000, there was a \$3,000-\$4,000 difference. Not good enough, as you can see, he wrote Central State saying you have got to do something and make this number go away. They contacted that guy who said that he would knock off the shipping charges all together and we are back down to \$52,800. He just wanted them to know what was going on and it will be here in January.

- ii. Ewing clarified that they are still a go at the original voted on price and no other hiccup to which Tippet responded that is correct. Trefz clarified that the tank was installed to which Tippet responded that it was installed last week. They already have water there, they have to put a meter up on the pole and run the electric in and have that ready. The rock is already there. Everything is sitting there waiting for now.
- iii. Blankenship asked if Central State is going to pour the pad to which Tippet responded no. It is not a poured pad, it is a rock pad because it comes as a unit and they sit it right on it. We will do all the prep work for it. Central State will provide the plumber to make the connection and the electrician to make the final electric move. Ewing clarified that we still have to get power to it. They aren't going to tie in and conduit over to it are they to which Tippet responded that we will set it all up so that it is a plug and play.

11. New Business

- a. Discussion and vote CCHD to complete the prep work for boat ramp OSLAD portion
 - i. Tippet stated that he asked Clark County Highway Department if they would be interested on doing the excavation and prep work for the concrete boat pad and if they could do it cheaper. It turns out that they all went out there and looked at it and what he had in the bid specs, which is go down a foot and dispose of everything for about \$25,000. In his estimate saves quite a bit of money. What they will do is get it down to a rock base 6-8" from grade and then the concrete company will come in and grade it as they want to do and put their concrete on top of it along with the drainage and street lights that will be going in there. We save ourselves a lot of money by letting the Clark County Highway Department do it. They will do it in two phases, 4 days each phase. He doesn't think it will be a problem at all to take it out. It is all asphalt and rock underneath it, we will just be skimming it off. Ewing clarified that it is \$25,000 to which Tippet responded it is \$25,000 give or take. He doesn't know what he is going to hit underneath it. He is pretty sure he knows what he is doing. We will run into the same problem if he puts it in as part of the bid over the scope of the bid. He thinks they are better off to use their intergovernmental agreement and let them start the



process. Not right now, when this all gets lined out and he sends the bid out for the concrete, to let them do the original removal of the boat ramp and get it ready for the concrete.

- ii. Trefz asked if there was any known concrete underneath to which Tippet responded not that he is aware of. Ewing clarified that they are not taking the old concrete out to which Tippet responded just the asphalt, not the concrete and the ramp. From the end of where the concrete ends, we are going about 330' up the ramp is about as far as we will be going with the OSLAD Grant. He is hoping that this winter they can get one side done and either the spring or even next fall we will get the other side done. Ewing clarified that is the light poles, infrastructure, parking, pulling up all of the parking curbs, drainage and all that. Tippet commented that they will actually get new gutters and curbing for about 900' of it along with a lot of drainage, some 10" horns, make that thing drain. We know that we have a spring that is underneath the boat ramp at one point and they are going to fix that right away also.
- iii. Blankenship asked if they should put some empty conduit under there as well to which Tippet commented that they will have one from the electric source to the light pole on top of where you park for the bathrooms, there will be one there for that. Blankenship commented he was thinking just in case they wanted to run a water line to a cabin up on the other side to which Tippet commented that they have a lot of conduit that was over-bought so yeah, they could do that. It wouldn't hurt. Blankenship commented that instead of saw cutting the brand new thing because someone came up with a good idea of a fish cleaning station that needs water and electricity ran to it. Then we wouldn't have to do anything. We would just dig up the end of it and say there you go. Tippet said that he would make that happen.
- iv. Ewing commented that with this \$25,000 estimate, he really doesn't know what to make the motion for. Are we holding to the \$25,000 to which Richards commented that it is not a bid, it is just an estimate. Blankenship commented it is time and materials, they will do us right, if you can't trust your other government well to which Ewing commented if he is estimating \$25,000 and something happens and they run into something and it goes up to \$27,500. Tippet commented that they would submit for it. Blankenship asked if they already had a bid from someone else to clean it up, to take it out to which Tippet responded that he had two quotes come in a long time ago when we started the OSLAD project as to what their best guess was for what it would cost us. He doesn't remember what it was, but it was quite a bit of money and that is actually what we based the OSLAD Grant on was their best guess.



They inflated the quotes that they had gave us in case they ran into a problem. He thinks it is \$300,000 plus all of the stuff we are going to do. We are following with the help of some other professionals how to place a bid for this and get it done.

- v. Wallace motioned for Clark County Park District to enter into an Inter-Governmental Agreement with the Clark County Highway Department in the amount of \$25,000 to begin the prep work for boat ramp OSLAD portion. Ewing second. Wallace aye, Sweet aye, Trefz aye, Ewing aye, Richards aye, Blankenship aye. 0 nays. Motion carried.
- b. Discussion and Vote Bob Morecraft boating incident
 - i. Tippettt stated that he put before them and they all had it in their emails, there was an incident involving Bob Morecraft's pontoon boat. What he said is that the security boat cut him off, this was over the July 4th holiday, and he said that he was driving to go into full reverse and it ripped his gears out of his lower end and he had to have it rebuilt to the tune of \$1,078. Tippettt stated that he told him that he would have to do an investigation, spoke with everyone who was involved with it, took statements about what happened, and the gentleman who put the boat back together, his name is Russell Arnold. I asked who he was and basically he said that he was just a good friend and basically knew how to do it. He was not a business. Said he talked to his attorney who gave him the name and number of the two witnesses that he gave me and he took statements from and reduced them to writing.
 - ii. Someone asked who the two witnesses were to which Tippettt responded that it was his son and his fiancé. They both indicated pretty much the same thing, that the security boat was on the right hand side of them as they were going down the lake between 10-15 miles per hour. The security boat came to a stop or almost a complete stop, gunned it, and turned right in front of them, which cause young Mr. Morecraft told me that he was driving the boat. Both Bob and his son were driving the boat. So he summarized it all up to the Board that our security doesn't remember his boat being anywhere in the area, the first he saw of the boat is when Mr. Morecraft chased him down with the boat, stopped him and started swearing at him, and threatened "to kick his ass" which is assault. Ewing commented that he chased him down with a boat that wouldn't drive. Tippettt went on to say so he made his statement and Tippettt got his statement and his conclusion is that whoever was driving the boat probably for that particular accident probably overreacted. He is asking the Board, it is not enough to give to the insurance company, it is \$1078 so it would come out of our pocket, what does the Board want him to do?



- iii. Ewing commented that by reading this he doesn't think it is any fault of ours. This is the second time this gentleman has tried to get money from the park whether the campground or boating now. He believes that last year he had a claim on his camper from rocks from the mower. Wallace asked if he had that fixed prior to notifying Tippet to which Tippet responded yes. The first time he heard from him he said he was going to bill us for this and he gave him absolutely no answer. The he received an email that said attached is the billing for the parts and then he got an email later on that says here's the bill with the mechanics work on it. When I questioned him on who the mechanic was or was this a business for our accounting procedures, he got nowhere with it.
- iv. Ewing asked if Jim's Marina was mentioned in there somewhere to which Tippet responded that Jim's Marina sold him the parts.
- v. Blankenship commented that he is on his own as far as he is concerned. They have two different stories as to who was driving the boat and to him that is a false statement.
- vi. Ewing commented that the thing that scares him is that this is the second time. The first time we paid him and went on about it, but now this time, is there going to be a third or a fourth. Blankenship commented that is what insurance is for isn't it?
- vii. Sweet commented that the key to him is that security said it didn't happen so that is good enough for him.
- viii. Wallace asked if the security guy made a report of the harassment that day to which Tippet stated that he did. Sean Kiger was working that day over the weekend and he took all of the statements from everybody. Wallace asked if he had said anything to security at that time that his boat was torn up at that time. Tippet commented that he doesn't recall anybody cutting him off he said that he didn't see Bob or understand what the situation was when Bob confronted him. He just knew that Bob came at him, and he told Bob where to complain to if he had a complaint, then called us to let him know that someone was threatening him on the lake. He simply told him that if you want to make a complaint, simply go up to the gatehouse and do so. Sean Kiger actually went to Morecraft's campsite and took the complaint from him there just to make sure we were covered.
- ix. Ewing asked what they want to do with it. Do we want to let him have his bill or do we want to square up with him as if it was our fault? Wallace commented that he doesn't even know if he actually tore it up, he didn't come to us and ask us prior to it, he just got it fixed by somebody. Ewing asked if it said that he was pulling a skier to which Tippet responded that he mentioned that he had someone tubing



behind him and they almost ran into the back of his boat and stopped saying that and then said that all of the people who were in the boat were forced forward.

- x. Ewing motioned that they do not pay for the incident presented to them. Blankenship second.
 - 1. Blankenship went on with further discussion asking if anyone else has complained about him cutting people off out on the lake to which Tippet responded no.
- xi. Sweet aye, Trefz aye, Ewing aye, Richards aye, Blankenship aye, Wallace aye. 0 nays. Motion carried.
- c. Discussion and Vote attorney to research easement between campground and near ATV Trails if can be retained or by acquisition
 - i. Ewing stated that he has tried to reach out and dug through the courthouse to try and find under whose jurisdiction this easement lies. He has went as far as he can go and he has reached out to the road commissioner and he was going to reach out as well to find out what he could do as the road commissioner and he has never heard back from him. We are going to need down the road for the OHV expansion, we are going to need our legal options of what we can and can't do with this easement. Everything that he has is either in a file or printed off in here that just shows that there is an easement there. Tippet commented that Haws went down there today and there is actually a road to that easement from where those tires were for that ironman thing. You go down a little more and there is actually a road however old that leads to that easement. Ewing commented that on the old maps, there is something there, but 40-50 years have passed whether they would use that road, but he thinks it would be in the Board's best interest to explore what our options are as far as can we open the road back up from the road commissioner or if we can utilize that as an access point for our OHV.
 - ii. Sweet asked if they get motor fuel for that, it is not a road it is just an easement to which Ewing responded that they do not get motor fuel for that. He went to Richardson at the County and they started recording motor fuel in 1976, and started recording the roads, but there was no motor fuel at that time. At that time the lake was already being built and the ground had already swapped names by the time that motor fuel tax would've been identified as a road.
 - iii. Wallace commented that he is game for moving forward with it because he feels it could serve as a good purpose. Richards asked the benefits of getting that easement are what to which Blankenship responded to get the side by sides out there without having to go on the road. Ewing



commented that gets us to the county road on the other side and then the other obstacle or hurdle would be to get a variance from the County to allow us access down the county road or put some type of OHV trail next to the road or something like that. He would have to say with the State of Illinois, the legal of the side by sides to ride on the roads, he would have to say it is coming. It's just a matter of time before they let you go down the road with them. Richards asked wasn't that talked about at one time that if the trails could be used in the campground then the trail money could be used in the campground to which Wallace commented that he knows at one point they actually did upgrades in the campground with the shower houses and all with OHV grant funds, but they seem to have tighten that up a lot. They said that because the OHV is not actually connected. He doesn't know how they would use this road in the future because would you use it, would you situate a campground out there somewhere, because he doesn't know that they would want to have a road that would actually come all the way into the park.

- iv. Ewing commented that if we can get another OHV Grant on down the road, that once we had access to the trail and back that it maybe something we could put OHV camping on the other side by the soccer field, put in their own OHV camping area that they can utilize and that would give them their own bath house if there is enough money to do all of that.
- v. Wallace stated that initially at one time they had a grant and they were going to put some campsites overt there and then that all got changed. He thinks that they should go ahead and get this part done.
- vi. Sweet commented that one thing that he would ask is that they put some kind of a limit on it, say the sky is the limit. Ewing stated that he personally would like for our attorney to investigate this and reach out to us about what our legal options are. He can't see it costing them much more than \$1,500 for a legal review of what our legal options are and then how we could go about it if we so choose to pursue that, then he is sure our attorney would have to file the proper paperwork or whatever. Blankenship what do you want to put on it, \$2,000, \$3,000, \$4,000, what is it worth to us? Ewing suggested \$3,500. Wallace asked who they think she will try to reach out to, she is going to have paralegals look and see if there are any cases in the State of Illinois like this. Tippet commented that we go through Lorna Geiler's office and the man's name is Matt Deering who would probably do the work for us. Ewing commented that he has went in and done most of the work at the courthouse and not that he wants to go in and take any ground or claim any ground, but if it is an easement and if we could use it, he thinks the township or the road



commissioner would be responsible to open that. That's what Murphy told him when he talked to him that it would be under his direction if they open it or not, but he hasn't heard back from him or nothing. Basically he is just looking for a legal push. Is \$3,500 enough, or too much to which Blankenship commented that is only 10 hours and if you are really going to do this, that is a fair amount. It will take 10 hours, especially if they have to drive here and go to the courthouse.

- vii. Ewing motioned to appropriate \$3,500 towards the legal fees for the research of easement for the township road. Blankenship second with further discussion. He asked if this was covered in the budget to which Tippett responded yes. Trefz aye, Ewing aye, Richards aye, Blankenship aye, Wallace aye, Sweet aye. 0 nays. Motion carried.
- d. Discussion and Vote Removal of Silt Dock #58 (Randy Blabolil) and Northlake Estates (JD Wyman)
 - i. Tippett commented that this goes back to removing some silt again. Dock #58 is in the back of Guyer Cove, he is silted in also. At his own expense, he is going to have an excavator come in on a barge, he will load it onto the barge, he will take it back where we load the rock boat. He claims his trucks will be able to go down there, that he will take it off the barge and load it on the trucks and will dump it away from the lake. Same thing with JD Wyman, he is going to build a berm that goes farther over than the one he has right now, right now it is just an island back there. So he is going to cut that one island and berm it up and any of the silt will go in trucks and be taken away.
 - ii. Wallace asked if they are going to use his excavator from the land or is he going to work from the barge to which Tippett responded he is going to work from the barge. Wallace commented good luck getting back there with all of those stumps.
 - iii. Tippett stated this is Guyer Cove and Northlake Subdivision, they are going to do it as a team together. Now the Northlake subdivision, he is going to enter off the land and his claim is that he can sit out there and literally dig backwards and put it behind him and just continue that berm and force that water over where it is supposed to be over on the east side of that hill where the creek use to be. What he is doing is basically just shoring it up. There is silt all along the edges and that is what he is going to take out. He is either going to berm it on the top, or he will send it out in the trucks.
 - iv. Sweet commented that doesn't that have to go through the Army Corp of Engineers to which Tippett responded that they have already done that and they said that if we take any silt out of the lake we can berm it or we can haul it off but it cannot go back into the lake or be a way for it to go



- back into the lake ever again. Sweet asked if the Army Corps told him what type of equipment had to be used to which Tippet responded to use what you can but as a recommendation they wanted us to use the bucket and the drag line, but use whatever you can.
- v. Wallace clarified that this will be done at their cost. The only thing that he would ask, if the Board would agree to it, is that they work in conjunction with Tippet or Haws, that you guys keep an eye on this. Go out and check on it every day to see what is going on with this. Tippet stated that he has been in contact with them quite a bit to find out what they are going to do and how they are going to do it.
 - vi. Ewing asked when the Army Corps say berm it up, do they have any requirements they use for a berm to which Tippet responded that they want us to dish it out, cereal bowl it and put the silt in the cereal bowl so that it can't get back out and that has already been done. Ewing commented so like the guy did over here where he just threw the mud out on top of a little make shift wall. Tippet commented that due to that, the Army Corps is coming out the 27th of this month for that incident.
 - vii. Wallace comment now where Wyman did his down there, is everyone satisfied with what was done down there to which Tippet responded that no one is satisfied with what was done down there. There is still, if you go right across from Doc's, there is only 8 inches of water. They were not able to get out there to reach it. That is what they are trying to do, dig it out a little deeper. On Wyman's side, so that he could get in, he guesses they had to tow him off. Ewing stated with the lake being down, now is the best time to do it, if they can't get the barge down there.
 - viii. Ewing asked when this work is slated to take place to which Tippet responded that they would like to do it this year. Sweet commented that he doesn't have a problem with it as long as he has the Army Corps blessing to which Tippet added and the EPA and the IDNR.
 - ix. Richards motioned to allow silt removal on Dock #58, owner Randy Blabolil, and in Northlake Estates for JD Wyman to finance their own silt removal with the stipulation that we will have the ability to check on the work and all expenses are covered by the dock owners. Blankenship second. Ewing aye, Richards aye, Blankenship aye, Wallace aye, Sweet aye, Trefz aye. 0 nays. Motion carried.
- e. Discussion and Vote Transferring of funds between budgeted line items
- i. Brown explained that the total amount that they are asking to be transferred is \$36,376.24, both removing it from certain line items and putting it back in others. The red represents the removal of monies, the green represents putting it back in different line items. Processing fee,



\$500, bringing us with a balance left of \$2,063.72, that will just put us in a more comfortable position to finish off the year with. Contract labor which was previously discussed. We began the year with contract labor which became a salaried position, contract labor is a corp line item so we can only move 10% of that to that actual fund. So, \$12,633.08, leaving a balance of \$6,692 transferring out of that into the Maintenance. Housekeeping expenses \$500 adding in these are our expenses for Housekeeping. Marketing and advertising, \$1,000 being added in giving us a balance of \$2,408.50. Mileage reimbursement adding in another \$500, Office supplies adding another \$2,500. We did have an added expense this year, we had to replace her computer. Special Events removing \$2,500, obviously we didn't have any special events this year, giving us a balance of \$895.65. Rental equipment, adding \$2,500. Salaries, removing \$6,900 from Fuel Dock Salary, adding a grand total overall of \$24,876.24 to Maintenance Salaries, the biggest reason for this again is housekeeping, also OHV, we have discussed at the Finance meetings how we had budgeted so much for the OHV salaries however at the beginning of the year we were not able to purchase the equipment that we needed to start that off so a lot of our OHV salary ended up in our OHV salary. Mowing, \$9,300 being removed, Security, \$5,000 being removed, \$1,500 being added into our sales tax, our sales tax went up. We did sell a lot of resale fuel this year. Training and travel expense, adding \$500. Sanitation and disposal and utilities adding \$1,000, and trash and garbage adding \$1,000.

- ii. Blankenship asked how much did she say that they could transfer from a line item to which Brown responded 10%. Tippettt stated no, from the fund, no more than 10%. Brown commented that the Contract Labor was actually a Corporate Fund. Wallace commented that there is a chart if you look in your book there is a chart on page 34. Brown commented that the Corporate Fund is \$126,000 is what is in our balance sheet so we can only transfer \$12,633.08. Blankenship commented the he thought that it was from the line item to which Brown stated no it is from the actual fund itself, that is what we have to base it on.
- iii. Wallace motioned to transfer the funds between the budgeted line items as presented, noting that the total expenses removed were \$36,376.24 and total expenses added were also \$36,376.24. Richards second. Richards aye, Blankenship aye, Wallace aye, Sweet aye, Trefz aye, Ewing aye. 0 nays. Motion carried.

12. Executive Session 5ILCS 120/2 C-21

- a. Ewing motioned to table Executive Session. Trefz second. 6 ayes, 0 nays. Motion carried.



13. Committee Reports

- a. Campers 10/01/20
 - i. Ewing reported that Campers met. We discussed the A section elevations that we voted on earlier with Saluki should start at the end of the season when we get closed up and the discussion was whether or not they may need to move their campers for some of that work to happen. We discussed this with the Director and he doesn't feel that there is anything to bring to the Board. He asked if we had contacted the campers to which Tippettt responded yes, we put out a newsletter, we put out a flyer that we are giving out at the gatehouse. Ewing stated that he knows that some of the campers leave their campers out here all year long, but if it was something where they could move their camper into B section or somewhere else to an empty site that would allow us to do the work in A then that would be great. Tippettt added that they also wanted everyone to disconnect their electric and not leave any perishables and they are going to shut off the water and they are going to kill the electric in the park. Ewing stated that they don't have a set time, but there will be a period of time where they are going to disconnect the power for upgrades.
- b. Lake Management
 - i. None
- c. Finance – 10/12/20
 - i. Wallace reported that Finance met on the 12th, Brown led them through the Finance Reports. There was nothing really glaring or to be concerned about. He thinks that in their packet of information there, they have the monies that were still owed by some customers and that has been whittled down to \$680.12. He assumes that they are still moving forward on some of those. They made their line item changes that they made there tonight to keep our budget like the State of Illinois should be doing theirs.
- d. Policy – 9/24/20 and 10/8/20
 - i. Tippettt reported that Policy was cancelled due to sickness and on 9/24 they just made some changes that are yet to be updated.
- e. Trails
 - i. None

14. Adjourn

- a. Blankenship motioned to adjourn the meeting. Wallace second. 6 ayes, 0 nays. Motion carried.
- b. Meeting was adjourned at 7:00 p.m.